

## Classes included in this report:

Subject Catalog Number Class Number

MECHENG 3870 10564

Raters	Students
Responded	13
Invited	18
Response Ratio	72%

## **Frequency Analysis**

The 9 questions of the SEI are organized around three key elements of teaching. Results are grouped based on these elements.

## Instructor's preparedness, organization of material, and clarity of presentation

	Response#	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	N/A
The subject matter of this course was well organized	13	0%	0%	38%	38%	23%	0%
5. The instructor was well prepared	13	0%	0%	15%	38%	46%	0%
9. The instructor communicated the subject matter clearly	13	0%	0%	31%	38%	31%	0%

### Rapport and instructor commitment

	Response#	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	N/A
3. This instructor was genuinely interested in teaching	13	0%	0%	15%	54%	31%	0%
6. The instructor was genuinely interested in helping students	13	0%	0%	15%	46%	38%	0%
8. The instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning	13	0%	0%	31%	31%	38%	0%

### Students' sense of their own learning

	Strongly						
	Response#	disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	agree	N/A
2. This course was intellectually stimulating	13	0%	0%	15%	62%	23%	0%
4. The instructor encouraged students to think for themselves	13	0%	0%	8%	62%	31%	0%
7. I learned a great deal from this instructor	13	0%	0%	38%	38%	23%	0%

## Overall, I would rate this instructor as... (Question 10)

Response#	Poor	Fair	Neutral	Good	Excellent
13	0%	0%	23%	46%	31%

## **Score Analysis**

Your mean scores are summarized below. Comparison group scores are provided. The College and the University comparison groups are based on the size of your class. The Department group is not. Class size groups are 1-19, 20-60, and 61+. This information is also presented as a table at the end of this section.





Question		structor	Department (Mechanical Engineering)		College (Engineering S)		University (1202 S)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
The subject matter of this course was well organized	3.85	0.80	4.22	0.98	4.33	0.90	4.44	0.85
2. This course was intellectually stimulating	4.08	0.64	4.18	1.00	4.41	0.85	4.44	0.86
3. This instructor was genuinely interested in teaching	4.15	0.69	4.33	0.93	4.55	0.77	4.64	0.72
4. The instructor encouraged students to think for themselves	4.23	0.60	4.30	0.91	4.55	0.74	4.61	0.71
5. The instructor was well prepared	4.31	0.75	4.29	0.94	4.44	0.83	4.52	0.81
6. The instructor was genuinely interested in helping students	4.23	0.73	4.33	0.95	4.57	0.76	4.64	0.73
7. I learned a great deal from this instructor	3.85	0.80	4.11	1.07	4.37	0.89	4.43	0.89
8. The instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning	4.08	0.86	4.14	1.06	4.41	0.86	4.50	0.83
9. The instructor communicated the subject matter clearly	4.00	0.82	4.11	1.09	4.36	0.90	4.44	0.89
10. Overall, I would rate this instructor as	4.08	0.76	4.25	1.04	4.52	0.81	4.59	0.80

# Please select the primary reason you enrolled in this class

Please select the primary reason you enrolled in this class						
Options	Count	Percentage				
It was specifically required in my major/minor	13	100%				
It was one of several choices to meet a requirement in my major	0	0%				
It fulfills a General Education requirement	0	0%				
It was a free elective choice	0	0%				

### **Comments**

Comments are retained for one year. This section will be deleted from this report at the end of Spring 2021. Please save a copy of this report if you want access to comments after that time.

### Comments

It would be helpful to have grades put in earlier rather than the end of the semester so we can fix our mistakes rather than making the same mistakes over and over for lab reports.

I think Varun did a decent job given the structure and content of our labs.