

Classes included in this report:

Subject	Catalog Number	Class Number
MECHENG	3870	10571

Raters	Students
Responded	8
Invited	18
Response Ratio	44%

Frequency Analysis

The 9 questions of the SEI are organized around three key elements of teaching. Results are grouped based on these elements.

Instructor's preparedness, organization of material, and clarity of presentation

	Response#	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	N/A
1. The subject matter of this course was well organized	8	0%	0%	0%	13%	88%	0%
5. The instructor was well prepared	8	0%	0%	0%	38%	63%	0%
9. The instructor communicated the subject matter clearly	8	0%	0%	13%	13%	75%	0%

Rapport and instructor commitment

	Response#	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	N/A
3. This instructor was genuinely interested in teaching	8	0%	0%	13%	13%	75%	0%
6. The instructor was genuinely interested in helping students	8	0%	0%	0%	13%	88%	0%
8. The instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning	8	0%	0%	0%	38%	63%	0%

Students' sense of their own learning

	Response#	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	N/A
2. This course was intellectually stimulating	8	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%
4. The instructor encouraged students to think for themselves	8	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%
7. I learned a great deal from this instructor	8	0%	0%	13%	25%	63%	0%

Overall, I would rate this instructor as... (Question 10)


Response#	Poor	Fair	Neutral	Good	Excellent
8	0%	0%	0%	13%	88%

Score Analysis


Your mean scores are summarized below. Comparison group scores are provided. The College and the University comparison groups are based on the size of your class. The Department group is not. Class size groups are 1-19, 20-60, and 61+. This information is also presented as a table at the end of this section.




6. The instructor was genuinely interested in helping students




7. I learned a great deal from this instructor




8. The instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning



9. The instructor communicated the subject matter clearly



10. Overall, I would rate this instructor as



Question	Instructor		Department (Mechanical Engineering)		College (Engineering S)		University (1202 S)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
1. The subject matter of this course was well organized	4.88	0.35	4.22	0.98	4.33	0.90	4.44	0.85
2. This course was intellectually stimulating	4.75	0.46	4.18	1.00	4.41	0.85	4.44	0.86
3. This instructor was genuinely interested in teaching	4.63	0.74	4.33	0.93	4.55	0.77	4.64	0.72
4. The instructor encouraged students to think for themselves	4.75	0.46	4.30	0.91	4.55	0.74	4.61	0.71
5. The instructor was well prepared	4.63	0.52	4.29	0.94	4.44	0.83	4.52	0.81
6. The instructor was genuinely interested in helping students	4.88	0.35	4.33	0.95	4.57	0.76	4.64	0.73
7. I learned a great deal from this instructor	4.50	0.76	4.11	1.07	4.37	0.89	4.43	0.89
8. The instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning	4.63	0.52	4.14	1.06	4.41	0.86	4.50	0.83
9. The instructor communicated the subject matter clearly	4.63	0.74	4.11	1.09	4.36	0.90	4.44	0.89
10. Overall, I would rate this instructor as	4.88	0.35	4.25	1.04	4.52	0.81	4.59	0.80

Please select the primary reason you enrolled in this class

Please select the primary reason you enrolled in this class		
Options	Count	Percentage
It was specifically required in my major/minor	8	100%
It was one of several choices to meet a requirement in my major	0	0%
It fulfills a General Education requirement	0	0%
It was a free elective choice	0	0%

Comments are retained for one year. This section will be deleted from this report at the end of Spring 2021. Please save a copy of this report if you want access to comments after that time.